

| Local wildife Site | Local Geological Site | Major Infrastructure | Minerals and Waste | Access to site | Accessibility to Local Facilities | Accessibility and Transport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Public Transport |
| In additional to nationally important sites, Warwickshire County Council has identified sites of local wildlife value. Development should not significantly affect the biodiversity value of these sites. | The NPPF seeks to protect and enhance geological or geomorphological sites considered worthy of protection. Development should not significantly affect the biodiversity value of these sites. | Is the site affected by major infrastructure (e.g. the route of High Speed 2, HSE Pipelines and pylons etc.)? | Development should not affect sites allocated or safeguarded for minerals extraction or waste management in the Minerals and Waste Local Plan. | Is there satisfactory access to the site from the road network that is likely to meet highway standards? | Is the site within reasonable walking distance of local services and facilities (i.e. convenience shop, primary school, doctor's surgery, public house)? | Is the site accessible to public transport services i.e. $(400 \mathrm{~m} / 5 \mathrm{mins}$ walk to bus or $800 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \mathrm{mins}$ walk to rail)? |
| Significant impact = RED | Significant impact = RED | Significant impact = RED | Significant impact = RED | No access to site = RED | No facilities reasonably accessible = RED | Accessible to neither bus or rail = RED |
| Some impatt = AMBER | Some impact = AMBER | Some impact = AMBER | Some impatt = AMBER | Major works required = AMBER | some facilities reasonably accessible $=$ Amber | Aceessible to bus or rail = AMBER |
| Minor / No impact = GREEN | Minor / No impatt = GREEN | Minor / No impatt = GREEN | Minor / No impact = GREEN | Minor works required = GREEN | All facilities reasonably accessible $=$ GREEN | Accessible to bus and rail = GREEN |
| green | Green | green | green | Green | RED | RED |
| Green | green | green | green | Amber | RED | RED |
| green | green | green | green | green | Amber | green |

In the above table assessments (the coloured cells) have been undertaken in the following order: $1^{\text {st }}$ row Sunrise Cottage; middle row The Old Nursery; and final row Winter Oak.

| Suitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Built Environment \& Heritage Other Planning Considerations |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relationship to Highway Network | Public Rights of Way | Coalescence | Integration with Settlement | Neighbouring Amenity | Neighbouring Land Uses | Non-designated Heritage Asset |
| Is the site well located in respect of the main road network and vehicle movements? | Does a Public Right of Way (PRoW) have a potential impact on the development of the site? | Does the site form an important contribution to defining and maintaining the separate identity of the settlement? | Does the site relate well to the existing built form / character of the settlement? NB: landscaping can help to mitigate impacts. | Would development of the site significantly impact upon the amenity of neighbouring occupiers (e.g. overlooking etc.)? | Is the site affected by neighbouring uses (e.g. incompatible use)? | The NPPF seeks to conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance, including non-designated and cultural importance ortance. |
| Poor = RED | PRow crosses the site = RED | Significant contribution = RED | Sensitive site = RED | Significant impact = RED | Significant impact = RED | Significant impact = RED |
| Improvements Ilikely $=$ AMBER | PRow on site boundary $=$ AMBER | Some contribution $=$ AMBER | Mitigation achievable through good design= Amber | some impact = AMBER | some impact $=$ AMBER | Some impact $=$ AMBER |
| Well related = GREEN | site not affected by PRoW = GrEEN | Minor / No contribution = GREEN | Site / development integrates well = GREEN | Minor/ No impact= GREEN | Minor / No impact = GREEN | Minor/ No impact= GREEN |
| green | green | green | green | green | green | green |
| green | AMBER | green | green | green | green | green |
| green | green | green | Green | Green | Green | GREEN |

In the above table assessments (the coloured cells) have been undertaken in the following order: $1^{\text {st }}$ row Sunrise Cottage; middle row The Old Nursery; and final row Winter Oak.

| Land \& Landscape |  |  | site Characteristics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landscape Sensitivity | Agricultural Land Classification | Contaminated land | Tree Preservation Orders (TPO) | Natural Features | Pollution | site assembly | site Topography and shape |
| The Sensitivity of the landscape is its ability to accommodate a certain type of change or development.. | Is the site classified as being the best and most versatile agricultural land? ( $1=$ excellent; $2=$ very good; $3 \mathrm{a}=$ good; $3 \mathrm{~b}=$ moderate; 4 = poor; 5 = very poor) | Is the site likely to be affected by contaminated land (e.g. petrol filling stations, industrial land etc.) Detailed site assessment may be required to establish whether actual contamination exists irrespective of this analysis. | Trees provide amenity value and are an important feature of the townscape and andscape and should be retained. | Are there natural features to the site that may have eccologicil value or may affect the design and layout? E .g. Watercourses, ponds, hedgerows etc. | Is the site likely to be affected by either noise or air pollution including AQMAs? | Could the site form part of a larger site that is suitable for development? Would development of this site restrain other development? | Do the topography/levels of the site or its shape constrain development? |
| High \& high-medium sensitivity $=$ RED | Grade 1 or 2 = Red | Contamination previously identified $=$ RED | Significant impact = RED | Significant impact = RED | Significant impact = RED | Prevent development = RED | Significant impact = RED |
| Medium \& Medium-Low senstivity $=$ AMBER | Grade 3a orpartly grade 1 or $2=$ AMBER | Potentilly contaminative $=$ AMBER | Some impact = Amber | Some impate = AMBER | Some impatt =Aneer | Mitigated by design=AMBER | Some impat=AMBER |
| Low sensitivity $=$ GREEN | Grade 3b, 4or 5 / notrelevant = GREEN | Less likely to be contaminated $=$ GREEN | Minor/ No impact= GREEN | Minor/ Noimpact= GREEN | Minor/ Noimpact= GREEN | No = Green | Minor/ No impact= GREEN |
| Green | green $^{\prime}$ | green | Green | GrEEN | green | green | green |
| green | ${ }_{\text {green }}$ | green | green | ${ }_{\text {amber }}$ | green | ${ }_{\text {green }}$ | green |
| green | green | green | Green | Amber | green | green | green |

In the above table assessments (the coloured cells) have been undertaken in the following order: $1^{\text {st }}$ row Sunrise Cottage; middle row The Old Nursery; and final row Winter Oak.

| Availability |  |  |  | Suitability and availability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| current Use | Intentions | Legal | ownership | Suitable and available? | Does the planning history provide any information as to the likely development of the site? (NB: the presence of planning permission does not automatically mean sit $\epsilon$ will be developed) |
| Is the site currently in use? Is the whole of the site in use? Would any existing users / tenants need to be relocated? Occupied sites may affect the likelihood or the timescales of development particularly in the short-term. | Is there an intention by the landowner to sell/develop and is there a developer in place to bring the site forward for development? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Are there any outstanding legal issues (e.g. } \\ & \text { rultiple and ownerships, ransom strips) } \\ & \text { that may affect the site coming forward for } \\ & \text { development in the short-term? } \end{aligned}$ | Has the landowner been identified? |  |  |
| In active use / occupied = RED | No intention to develop / intentions unknown = RED | Yes = RED | Unknown = RED |  | Permission refused = RED |
| Agricultural use / Vacant / Under-used = Amber | site promoted =AMBER | Unknown = AMBER | Known but no contact = AMBER |  | No permission / Expired = AMBER |
| Derelict/ Undeveloped = GREEN | Developer in place = GREEN | No= GREEN | Known = GREEN |  | Extant permission $=$ GREEN |
| green | green | green | green | yes | green |
| green | green | green | green | yes | green |
| green | green | green | green | yes | green |

In the above table assessments (the coloured cells) have been undertaken in the following order: $1^{\text {st }}$ row Sunrise Cottage; middle row The Old Nursery; and final row Winter Oak.

| Conclusions |  |  |  | Site size and pitch potential |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suitability Conclusion | Availability Conclusion | Achievability Conclusion | Suitable, Available and Achievable? | Site size | Pitch Potential |
|  |  |  |  | Gross Site Area (Ha) |  |
| No major planning considerations that would prohibit the site as being suitable. However, the site is poorly connected to sustainable transport options and is within the Green Belt. Its location will have to be balanced against other matters such as need. | Nothing before Council to believe that there is an issue with availability. | No concerns that proposed development in unachievable. | yes | 0.3 | 3 |
| No major planning considerations that would prohibit the site as being suitable although quite significant works would be needed on the access to make it safe but this is feasible and would not prevent the site from being made acceptable. A public right of way runs to the north of the site and any development needs not to impinge on the use of this. Boundary hedges exist at the site and would need to be retained and protected. There is a listed building to the north in relatively close proximity to the site but there are no indications that this would be a matter for concern. However, the site is poorly connected to sustainable transport options and is within the Green Belt. Its location will have to be balanced against other matters such as need. | Nothing before Council to believe that there is an issue with availability. | Planning permission 037168 requires the site to be split by a fence and hedgerow so the southern access would be cut-off from the rest of the site. The southern access is the safer and thus the preferred choice for egress and ingress. Some rearrangement of the site layout would need to take place to accommodate an appropriate access but there appears to be the space within the land in the owner's control to allow this to take place. | yes | 0.5 | 5 to 6 |
| No major planning considerations that would prohibit the site as being suitable. Site has access to walking, cycling, and bus transport options and is better located to some services than the other sites. Boundary hedges exist at the site and would need to be retained and protected. | Nothing before Council to believe that there is an issue with availability. | No concerns that proposed development in unachievable. | yes | 0.4 | 6 |

In the above table assessments (the uncoloured cells) have been undertaken in the following order: $1^{\text {st }}$ row Sunrise Cottage; middle row The Old Nursery; and final row Winter Oak.

