Pride in Place – Camp Hill Plus - Board Meeting Minutes

Friday 6th November 2026, 5pm–6.30pm

Camp Hill Parish Church Hall

Agenda:

1. To confirm the revised statement for submission.
2. To discuss schedule for 4 year funding and proposed mechanisms.
3. To reflect on our new name following feedback.

	Actions	Who
1. Welcome and Opening Remarks The Chair (David Porter) welcomed everyone to the meeting. Previous minutes agreed by board. **List of Attendees:** Flora Fushai - resident Jodie Gosling MP – Nuneaton Cheryl Jones – resident and WCC area worker Mark Lacrouts – former resident and local businessman Chris Maddox – Camp Hill Plus Pride in Place programme co-ordinator Zara Overton – Jodie Gosling MP office David Porter- Independent Chair and resident Jonathan White – NBBC official Cllr Dale Bridgewater – WCC councillor Susie Marston – resident Tosin Olowookere – resident Jamal Lydon – resident Sarah Newell - WCAVA **Apologies:** Sandy Johal – NBBC official Garry Palmer – WCC official Cllr Bhim Saru – NBBC councillor and resident John Scouller – Together for change Sarah Hall-Craggs - resident		
2. Chair's update Thanks given to Chris for the work he has done on the submission, given his 10 hours a week. From 9th Nov he will be working full time and arranging meetings with board members.	Set up meetings. Circulate list of	**CM**

At the next meeting the board will need to draw up a schedule for governance documents (safeguarding, procurement etc.) List will be circulated in due course.	governanc e docs	**DP**
3. Draft submission – context from Chris Maddox Document has been revised following comments from previous meeting. Reduced to 27 pages but still more to be added. Aligns with MHCLG prospectus and aligned with structure of Bedworth's submission. Agreed that board members would send ideas for refinement of the document by mid w/c 9th November. 4-year Financial plan will make up 4 pages, so further editing needed. Needs selection of statistics, plus graphs, charts and maps.	Circulate word document of submission . Suggestion s and refinement s to document. Send ordnance survey map to CM	**CM** **All board members** **DP**
4. Draft submission - feedback from the Board In line with minutes from previous meeting, business, investment and skills should be listed as a priority. The vision statement in section one should be updated to set out how funding will address economic challenges in area. There has been some outreach already in areas in the boundary extension, more consideration to be taken on how this relationship evolves and contributes to plan. The Ford centre cited as potential venue for meetings and events.	Updates to document	**CM**

Suggestion that year one funds go toward a formal consultation to answer questions raised by initial consultation. Discussion on being more than a grant funding body; creating sustainable opportunities on the doorstep. Legacy and year 11 onwards need to be considered. All board members agreed no further significant changes were needed to the submission. **5. 4-year Financial Plan discussion** Between now and April we do not have proper mechanisms to spend. Post-November submission will need to consider legal structures to enable spending. Chris circulated Pride in Place Phase 2 annual funding allocation document and potential four-year spending plan. Given annual funding allocations are weighted to revenue funding in years 1-3, the proposed four-year spending plan outlined pots for small (£10k) and large (£50k) grants to local organisations for specific projects, citing quick wins and need to communicate action to residents. By end of year 2, board would have funded 40 small projects, 8 large projects. The capital pot could be retained by board. By year 2, over half a million would be available to invest at board's discretion. Discussion around sustainibility and economic returns. Grant funding does not guarantee money generated for future use. This funding needs to leave a legacy and generate value to community. It would be good to see a projected generation of social/economic profit for every investment. Chair suggested creation of a policy paper over next 2 months setting out long term goals and principles and proportionality of investments. Questions raised about viability of board following 10 years of investment, is the intention to carry on structure following cessation of government funding? Speed to also be considered – board can set up as CIC in immediate future, removing NBBC's responsibility for holding the	Circulate both financial documents to board. Chair to revisit after November. Rework financial plan	**CM** **DP**

funds, and releasing board from local authority procurement rules etc. It was proposed that £20-30k be set aside from initial revenue funds for training. Also proposed to set aside money from £150k for economic survey and educational training for board members. It was suggested that Chris tweaks figures and reduce funding grant pots to accommodate training and consultation. The plan submitted at the end of November is not set in stone, money can roll over etc. No more than an indicative expression.	using suggestions.	**CM**
6. Feedback on proposed name Chris has been collecting feedback over last couple of weeks from the boundary expansion area. Raised stigma around Camp Hill centred name/branding. Housing development and previous projects paint picture that 'Camp Hill always gets investment, we're just a bolt on' Whittleford, Barpool, Vale etc not feeling included. Chris suggested new name: ONE Together. Our Neighbourhoods Empowered Together. would be softer, more appealing, inclusive. Whole group discussion on merit of changing/retaining name. Group split – want all residents to feel included but not lose identity. Not everyone will be pleased either way. Onus on board to deliver for residents and prove ourselves. Chair raised that most of the spaces we took in were non-residential. Parks and industrial area. Only residents to be drawn in from The Circle and Barpool area. Raised potential of including ONETogether in logo competition run by school. Raised potential of naming competition with some prize money, draw attention to social media channels etc. Decided to revisit and press on with initial delivery. Plan submission will be made under Camp Hill Plus but can be changed later on.	To be revisited at future meeting.	**DP**
7. Conclusions from the Chair Immediate priority is November submission.		

8. AOB Cheryl shared that another youth meeting would be taking place next week; youth representative to be chosen at/following that meeting. Jodie asked if we need new board representation from the expanded boundary area? Susie asked if we sorted out discrepancy in survey numbers. 309 valid responses, plus non valid responses. additional 128 people engaged with. Needs clarifying. Minutes have not been uploaded to NBBC website. This may now be under Shally rather than Sandy. Chris' hours will be 9am-4pm. The initial idea of board Workshops was raised; discussion coming back after November submissions. Tosin asked what changes for our roles when we form a legal entity? Chair: code of conduct will be enhanced rather than big changes Tosin: returned to previous suggestion that we hire an environmental surveyor to look at plans for green spaces.	Update at next meeting on youth reps. To revisit following submissions. Chris to investigate with survey authors. To chase Shally at NBBC To raise at December meeting To raise at December meeting	**CJ** **DP** **CM** **DP** **DP** **DP**
9. Date and time of the next meeting Next meeting Friday 27th November, location TBC		