Contents

All the Borough Plan Review document	2
Chapter Three: Key issues facing the borough	3
Chapter Five: Key diagram	3
Chapter Seven: Strategic allocations	4
Chapter Eight: Housing	9
Chapter Eleven: Healthy, safe and inclusive communities	.11

Additional Mod Ref	Plan page	Policy / paragraph	Additional Modification	Reason for modification	When was the modification suggested?
All the Boro	ough P	lan Review d	locument		
AM1	All	All	ALIGN all text to the left.	The Plain English Campaign set out that justified text, as the Borough Plan currently has, is more difficult to read than text aligned left, and therefore disadvantages those with visual impairments.	Following the Block 3 Examination in Public hearing session (October 2024).
			CAPITALISE the first letter of each word:	For grammar and for emphasising the	Suggested additional
			<u>L</u> ocal <u>W</u> ildlife <u>S</u> ite.	importance of this designation.	modifications (March

					2024) [CD22].
Chapter Th	ree: Ke	y issues fac	ing the borough		
AM2	9	3.4	Access to some leisure facilities is restricted for people without a car. Public transport to Bermuda Park, for instance, is limited and there are no public footpaths along the A444 segregated cycle paths within the site.	This is a more accurate description of the active travel issues within Bermuda Park following comments by the agent/promoter of SHA2 in representation ref. 106.21.	Suggested additional modifications (March 2024) [CD22].
Chapter Fi	ve: Key	diagram			
AM3	13	Key diagram	ADD strategic housing allocation site SHA7 – East of Bulkington to the Key diagram.	For consistency with the rest of the Borough Plan Review/Policies Map modifications.	Post EiP hearing sessions.
			AMEND the site boundaries for the housing and employment sections of strategic site SEA6, on the Borough Plan Policies Map.	For consistency with the rest of the Borough	Post EiP hearing sessions.

				Plan Review/Policies Map modifications.	
Chapter Se	ven: St	trategic alloc	ations		
AM4	101	Strategic Policy CEM1	ADD an additional key development principle: Due to the nature of the proposed development on the site, advice will need to be sought from the Environment Agency before a formal planning application is submitted.	The modifications proposed to CEM1 are deemed necessary as they were requested by the Environment Agency, Sport England and Historic England at Regulation 19 stage, for inclusion in the final plan, and were discussed in the Statements of Common Ground (areas of agreement).	Originally proposed as AMs at submission [CD22] but later moved to MMs. Now moved back to AMs.
			ADD to supporting text paragraph 7.132:	The modifications proposed to CEM1 are	Originally proposed as
			In the event that the existing playing pitch provision, immediately north of Marston Lane Cemetery, is	deemed necessary as they were requested	AMs at submission

required to be used to deliver burial space in the short-	by the Environment	[CD22] but
term, the use of the CEM1 land to deliver alternative	Agency, Sport England	later moved
playing pitch provision will be consider an acceptable	and Historic England at	to MMs. Now
use. However, this should be delivered prior to the loss	Regulation 19 stage,	moved back
of the existing playing field site, to the north of Marston	for inclusion in the final	to AMs.
Lane Cemetery, unless it can be clearly demonstrated	plan, and were	
that the use is no longer required in the area.	discussed in the	
Consideration should also be given to visitor parking, in	Statements of	
relation to any increase in playing field provision in	Common Ground	
terms of size and/or use for formalised sports facilities.	(areas of agreement).	
This can be provided on or off-site.		
ADD a new subheading and text to the end of the	The modifications	Originally
supporting text:	proposed to CEM1 are	proposed as
	deemed necessary as	AMs at
Environmental Protection	they were requested	submission
	by the Environment	[CD22] but
The Environment Agency advise:	Agency, Sport England	later moved
"For any formal planning application submission, a	and Historic England at	to MMs. Now
minimum tier 1 hydrogeological risk assessment will	Regulation 19 stage,	moved back
need to be undertaken, including a water features	for inclusion in the final	to AMs.
survey. Where the tier 1 risk assessment shows that	plan, and were	
there is a need for more detailed assessment (i.e.	discussed in the	
	Statements of	l
	discussed in the	

the best prestice controls connet be weeth a tier O	Common Cround
the best practice controls cannot be met) a tier 2	
risk assessment may be required.	(areas of agreement).
For information refer to the Environment Agency's	
guidance: 'Cemeteries and burials: prevent	
-	
groundwater pollution'.	
https://www.gov.uk/guidance/cemeteries-and-burials-	
prevent-groundwater-pollution	
To ensure the site is an appropriate use of the land,	
all burials shall be:	
A minimum of 250m from a potable	
-	
groundwater supply source	
 A minimum of 30m from a watercourse or 	
<u>spring</u>	
 A minimum of 10m from any field drain 	
A minimum of 1m above the highest annual	
<u>groundwater level.</u>	
This will ensure the protection of the quality of	
controlled waters in the local area, specifically the	
secondary aquifer and adjacent waterbodies. Refer	
to position statement L3 of The Environment	
Agency's approach to groundwater protection	
(publishing.service.gov.uk).	

For information on Environment Permits and the approach to protecting groundwater from cemetery developments read, "Protecting groundwater from human burials" on the .GOV.UK website. This explains the basics of why cemeteries have the potential to cause groundwater pollution and introduces the regulatory framework that cemeteries sit within. Burials of human remains (other than the burial of human ashes from crematoria) within cemeteries are "groundwater activities" as defined in paragraph 3 of Schedule 22 to the Environmental Permit Regulations (EPR).

The EPR provides a tool for the Environment Agency to use in its regulation of groundwater activities. The level of regulatory control that we apply to new cemetery developments is proportionate to the level of risk the cemetery poses to the environment. As a result of the EPR amendments, there are now 3 tiers of regulatory control:

- Exemptions (low risk)
- Standard Rules Permit (medium risk)
- Bespoke Permit (high risk)

A new cemetery development is defined as:

 A cemetery development requiring 		
planning permission under section 57 of the		
Town and Country Planning Act 1990 which		
was granted on or after 2 October 2023.		
 An extension to a cemetery requiring 		
planning permission under section 57 of the		
Town and Country Planning Act 1990 which		
was granted on or after 2 October 2023.		
New cemetery developments that can meet 14		
exemption conditions will be exempt from the		
requirement of an environmental permit. Further		
details on the exemption conditions can be found at:		
Low environmental risk cemeteries: exemption		
conditions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)		
ADD a new subheading and text at the end of the	The modifications	Originally
		proposed as
supporting text:	proposed to CEM1 are	· · ·
	deemed necessary as	AMs at
<u>Historic Environment</u>	they were requested	submission
	by the Environment	[CD22] but
This site has been identified in the evidence base work	Agency, Sport England	later moved
for the Borough Plan Review titled Nuneaton and	and Historic England at	to MMs. Now
Bedworth Local Plan Review – Heritage Site	Regulation 19 stage,	moved back
Assessment (June 2022) as scoring medium for all, or	for inclusion in the final	to AMs.
parts of the site for archaeological potential and	plan, and were	

			medium for sensitivity scoring for the contribution of the proposed allocation to the significance and setting of known heritage assets. There is potential for any development on this site to impact on archaeological features. Therefore, evaluative archaeological recording likely in the form of trenching is likely to be required with any formal planning application. The detail of the documentation will need to be discussed with Warwickshire County Council Museums and Archaeology prior to any submission. For more information on heritage impacts refer to Nuneaton and Bedworth Local Plan Review – Heritage Site Assessment (June 2022) and Policy BE4.	discussed in the Statements of Common Ground (areas of agreement).	
Chapter Eig	ght: Ho	using			
AM5	112	Policy H3	AMEND supporting text paragraph 8.49: The 2021 Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment showed a need to find an overall requirement for 20 permanent pitches for the period up to 2036/37 (at least 6 permanent residential pitches by 2025 and a further 5 between 2025 and 2037) and no need for transit pitches for the same	The Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD was adopted in January 2024.	Following the Block 1 Examination in Public hearing sessions (July 2024).

period. This need and how it would be met through the allocation of land for new pitches is set out in the separate Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD which is due to be adopted in 2023. This separate DPD sets out a strategy for the location of new gypsy and traveller pitches. The accommodation assessment will be updated every five years and these subsequent updates will be used to calculate the number of pitches and plots required in the future and, if necessary, these will in turn be used to update the separate DPD. AMEND supporting text paragraph 8.52: The delivery mechanisms for this policy will be as per	The Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD was	Following the Block 1 Examination
the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD. (due to be adopted in 2023).	adopted in January 2024.	in Public hearing sessions (July 2024).
AMEND supporting text paragraph 8.53:	The Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD was	Following the Block 1 Examination

			The indicators and targets that will be monitored for this policy are provided within the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocation DPD. (due to be adopted in 2023).	adopted in January 2024.	in Public hearing sessions (July 2024).
Chapter E	leven: F	lealthy, safe	and inclusive communities		
AM6	149	Policy HS3	Amend the second section of the policy: Telecommunications proposals When considering telecommunications proposals, the Council will have regard forto the operational requirements of the telecommunications networks and the technical limitations of the technology, including any technical constraints on the location of telecommunications apparatus.	For clarity.	Requested by the Inspectors – post EiP hearing sessions.
			Amend criteria 8 of Policy HS3: 8. Proposals must include details of a satisfactory scheme to return the site to its former or improved condition once operations have ceased and any apparatus is no longer required. If considered	For clarity.	Requested by the Inspectors – post EiP hearing sessions.

appropriate by the Council, the applicant may be	
requested for a bond to be provided, under a planning	
obligation, to cover the cost of decommissioning and/or	
restoration of the site. A bond may be requested, by the	
Council, under a planning obligation, to cover the cost	
of decommissioning and/or restoration of the site.	