

Statement on behalf of the Claimants
Witness: W.M.H. Rose
1st Statement
Dated: 21.11.2022
Exhibits: "WMHR1"

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

Claim No. QB-2019-000616

KING'S BENCH DIVISION

B E T W E E N : -

**(1) NUNEATON AND BEDWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL
(2) WARWICKSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL**

Claimants

and

**(1) THOMAS CORCORAN
(2)-(53) OTHER NAMED DEFENDANTS
(54) PERSONS UNKNOWN FORMING UNAUTHORISED ENCAMPMENTS WITHIN
THE BOROUGH OF NUNEATON AND BEDWORTH**

Defendants

"WMHR1"

This is the exhibit marked "WMHR1" referred to in the witness statement of William Maxwell Hunt Rose
dated 21 November 2022

BY E-MAIL ONLY

Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council

Our Ref: 13295/5/CJ/VO

Your Ref: Chris Johnson

28 October 2022

Dear Sir/Madam

Re: Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council and Warwickshire County Council -v- Thomas Corcoran and Others
KB – 2019 – 000616
Hearing on 12 and 13 December 2022

We attach here the decision of the Supreme Court of 25 October 2022 giving the Appellants in *London Gypsies and Travellers and Others -v- Wolverhampton City Council* permission to appeal. In light of this decision, we write on behalf of Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group who have, amongst other things, remit with regard to Gypsy and Traveller issues in the Midlands area, to ask for your confirmation that the Hearing of this matter will be adjourned pending Judgment in the Supreme Court case.

We look forward to hearing from you further on this.

Yours faithfully



The Community Law Partnership Limited

Enc



TUESDAY 1ST FEBRUARY 2022

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

ON APPEAL FROM QUEEN'S BENCH CLAIMS
QB-2019-000616



BEFORE SIR GEOFFREY VOS, MASTER OF THE ROLLS
AND LORD JUSTICE LEWISON
AND LADY JUSTICE ELISABTH LAING

CA-2021-000631

B E T W E E N

1. NUNEATON AND BEDWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL
2. WARWICKSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

CLAIMANTS /
APPELLANTS

- and -

1. THOMAS CORCORAN
2. (53) OTHER NAMED DEFENDANTS
(54) PERSONS UNKNOWN FORMING UNAUTHORISED ENCAMPMENTS
WITHIN THE BOROUGH OF NUNEATON AND BEDWORTH

DEFENDANTS /
RESPONDENTS

- and -

1. LONDON GYPSIES AND TRAVELLERS
2. FRIENDS, FAMILIES AND TRAVELLERS
3. DERBYSHIRE GYPSY LIAISON GROUP
4. HIGH SPEED TWO (HS2) LIMITED
5. BASILDON BOROUGH COUNCIL

INTERVENERS

UPON the Appellant's appeal brought by way of an appellant's notice dated 7 June 2021 against the Order of Mr Justice Nicklin (dated 24 May 2021), and with permission to appeal being granted by Lord Justice Underhill on 13 July 2021

AND UPON hearing Caroline Bolton and Natalie Pratt of counsel for the Appellants, Marc Willers QC, Tessa Buchanan and Owen Greenhall of counsel for the First to Third Interveners, Richard Kimblin QC of counsel for the Fourth Intervener, Tristan Jones of counsel as Advocate to the Court, and receiving written submissions from Wayne Beglan of counsel for the Fifth Intervener and the Defendants neither appearing nor being represented

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The appeal is allowed.
2. The proceedings shall be restored to the general Queen's Bench list and listed for a directions hearing before a judge on the first available date after 11 March 2022, with a time estimate of 2 hours.
3. There be no order as to costs.

ON PAPER

Application No.

CA-2021-000631
(A2/2021/1017)

4. Permission to appeal is refused.

BY THE COURT

* This order was drawn by Ann Marie Smith (Associate) to whom all enquiries regarding this order should be made. When communicating with the Court please address correspondence to The Associate, Civil Appeals Office, Room E307, Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London WC2A 2LL (DX 44456 Strand) and quote the Court of Appeal reference number. The Associate's telephone number is 0207 947 7183 and 0207 947 7856.



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM

25 OCTOBER 2022

UKSC 2022/0046

Before:

Lord Hodge
Lord Hamblen
Lord Stephens

**Wolverhampton City Council and others (Respondents) v
London Gypsies and Travellers and others (Appellants)**

AFTER CONSIDERATION of the Appellants' application for permission to appeal the order made by the Court of Appeal on 1 February 2022, and application for a protective costs order and alternative service, and of the notices of objection filed by the Respondents and the submissions filed by the Interveners

THE COURT ORDERED that

- 1) Permission to appeal be GRANTED
- 2) No costs be awarded against or in favour of the Appellants in any event
- 3) The application for alternative service be GRANTED.

Registrar
25 October 2022