# RECORD OF EXERCISE OF DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY OFFICER PURSUANT TO REGULATION 13 OF THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES (EXECUTIVE ARRANGEMENTS) (MEETINGS AND ACCESS TO INFORMATION) (ENGLAND) REGULATIONS 2012 & THE OPENNESS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT BODIES REGULATIONS 2014

SUBJECT OF DECISION	
CCS framework – Procurement for Traffic Management Provider	
DECISION	SOURCE OF AUTHORITY
<u>REFERENCE</u>	AND REFERENCE
DO/110/2024 (AB)	Constitution 3D.1 b) and c)
DATE OF DECISION	DECISION MAKER (Name and Job Title)
20 <sup>th</sup> March 2024	Alastair Blunkett – Assistant Director Environment and Enforcement
	Alosay Shunlet

# RECORD OF THE DECISION

- a) **The Issue**: We currently do not have a Traffic Management provider for scheduled or emergency works within the borough where road closures are required.
- b) The Decision: The first ranked call off option be pursued, via CCS framework, in order to appoint a suitable Traffic Management Provider to support NBBC cleansing requirements throughout the borough.

Subject to compliance with the Councils Contracts Procedures Rules, the first ranked named and most economically advantageous supplier be appointed.

# REASON FOR THE DECISION

To ensure a suitable supplier is appointed to support NBBC cleansing requirements, whilst maintaining cost efficiency and safety.

# ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED IN MAKING THE DECISION

# Training of current staff to complete work

- 1) limited staff numbers.
- 2) Increased project costs for additional training.
- 3) Increased costs to purchase all necessary equipment.

#### WARD RELEVANCE

ΑII

#### FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

Projected 5 year (3+1+1) estimated contract costs based on average year on year price per litre (PPL) market price fluctuations for 22/23 and 23/24\* at £15,000 equalling a lifetime contract cost of £75,000 contained within GF and HRA fleet revenue budgets.

\*Estimated to end of 2024 based on previous spend

#### CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN WITH MEMBERS/OFFICERS

Discussions with relevant operations waste and transport supervisors, portfolio holder and procurement management

# ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY ANY MEMBER CONSULTED

None

IN RESPECT OF ANY DECLARED CONFLICT BY A CABINET MEMBER, ANY DISPENSATION GIVEN BY THE HEAD OF PAID SERVICE (Note if the decision is a non-executive decision, no dispensation can be given).

None

# **EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS** (including any Equality Impact Assessment)

None

#### **HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS**

None

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As per Financial and Budget Implications above

HEALTH EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS
None
SECTION 17 CRIME & DISORDER IMPLICATIONS
None
RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS
Failure to award suitable supplier could severely disrupt Scheduled and emergency works across the borough leading to higher overall costs when areas become available to work alongside subcontractors provided by highways or WCC .
SME (SMALL/MEDIUM ENTERPRISES) & LOCAL ECONOMY IMPLICATIONS
None
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS
Increased carbon emissions, increased fuel consumption.
LEGAL IMPLICATIONS
Potential legal challenges
ANY OTHER COMMENTS
<u>None</u>

PLEASE RETURN TO THE DIRECTOR – PLANNING & REGULATION AS SOON AS A DECISION IS MADE OR AS REASONABLY PRACTICABLE THEREAFTER