

## **PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE**

### **ADDENDUM 19<sup>th</sup> February 2019**

#### **Item**

1. **ADD to Neighbour Responses:**  
Three forms of communication of support covering the following issues:
- 1) Why were we not consulted?
  - 2) Adjacent neighbours have rear extensions.
  - 3) Adjacent homes have similar extensions so surprised this was not immediately approved. Also many of the dormer bungalows in the Close have been extended in the same manner as the proposal.
  - 4) The proposal is in keeping with the surrounding area.
  - 5) Concerns are from house separated from proposed extension by two long gardens, trees and shrubbery.
  - 6) The original design of these bungalows won an award at the Ideal Home Exhibition in the 1960's partly for the ease with which they can be extended to accommodate a growing family.
  - 7) Several immediate neighbours give their whole hearted support for this application despite the possible disruption of building works.
  - 8) Applicants are a lovely couple expanding their family in the very near future and the type of people welcomed in the community.

One further petition received stating the same reasons as previously précised in the agenda, from eleven new signatories.

2. **AMEND to Statutory Consultees:**  
WCC Highways - No objection subject to condition on signage and Lighting.

#### **ADD Conditions:**

6. Notwithstanding the plans submitted, the route shall not be first brought into use until the details of the signage (as recommended in the Stage 1 / 2 Safety Audit) have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Council and implemented in accordance with the approved details. Such signage shall be permanently retained.

7. Notwithstanding the plans submitted, the route shall not be first brought into use until the details of the lighting (as recommended in the Stage 1 / 2 Safety Audit) have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Council and implemented in accordance with the approved details. Such lighting shall be permanently retained.

**ADD to Neighbour Responses:**

Twenty two further forms of objection received, same objections as previously précised except for one new objection issue as follows:

1. Should be held in abeyance. Future maintenance costs will mean it is left to fall into disrepair.
2. As Councillors elected by the people, it would be nice if you actually did what your constituents wanted.
3. Will encourage youths to segregate, already problems with people setting fires in the area.

Three further forms of support received, same reasons for support as previously précised except for two new reasons as follows:

1. The more safe cycle routes the better.
2. Looking forwards to using the proposed path on a regular basis for exercise/transportation and for wellbeing, health and physical safety.

One letter of mixed support and objection stating:

1. Plans were clear from the start where the path was going to go.
2. It would not benefit wildlife placing it in the railway cutting versus putting it on the existing well-trodden path.
3. Shame Arbury Estates do not look after the railway line properly or that people using it do not pick up their own litter.
4. 3m wide is excessive a small path would be better for the less able and abandon cycle way. It is not needed as cyclist would continue on path at Nook not meant for cycling.

3. **AMEND condition 22 to read:**  
The gates located within the vehicular access to the site shall be located in the position shown only, shall be hung so as to open into the site only and all mechanisms shall be fitted with low noise fittings.
4. **AMEND Neighbours Notified:**  
46-58 (even) Kingsley Crescent, 19a The Paddocks, 161, 165-173 (odd), 177 Weston Lane.
5. **AMEND Neighbours Notified:**  
33, 35, 37, 50 and 54 Peake Avenue.  
290 and 292 Higham Lane.