This Version: 27th October 2014

Appendix 1: Abbreviations.

AH- Affordable Housing

A&SS- Assets and Street Services (Directorate)

BCP- Business Continuity Plan
BFI- Benefits Fraud Inspectorate

BI- Business Improvement (Directorate)
BP- Borough Plan (or Business Plan)
CAMT- Corporate Asset Management Team

CGG- Corporate Governance Group

CIGG- Corporate Information Governance Group

CLG- Communities & Local Govt

CLIP- Corporate Library Information Point CPO- Compulsory Purchase Order CSHG- Corporate Strategic Housing Group.

DHF- District Housing Forum (to which Registered Providers are invited)

EST- Energy Saving Trust

F&P-Finance and Procurement (Directorate) Governance and Recreation (Directorate) G&R-GOWM -Government Officer for the West Midlands Housing and Communities (Directorate) H&C-HASCOG -Health & Safety Co-ordinators' Group HCA-Homes & Communities Agency HSE -Health & Safety Executive Health & Safety Manager HSM-

IDeA- Improvement & Development Agency IEG - Implementing Electronic Government

IIP - Investors in People

IMG- Information Management Group

LRF- Local Resilience Forum (the primary liaison body linking Category 1 responders within the Warwickshire Police Boundary

under the Civil Contingencies Act, 2004).

LSP- Local Strategic Partnership
MTFS- Medium Term Financial Strategy.

NABCEL- Nuneaton & Bedworth Community Enterprises Ltd NABSCOP- Nuneaton & Bedworth Safer Communities Partnership

TRIM DOC 14/3650

This Version: 27th October 2014

OSP- Overview & Scrutiny Panel

HB/CTB- Housing Benefits/ Council Tax Benefits

NNDR- National Non-Domestic Rates
ORR(s)- Operational Risk Register(s)
P&QM - Performance & Quality Manager
PAS- Planning Advisory Service

PDSO (O&S)- Principal Democratic Services Officer (Overview & Scrutiny)

PI - Performance Indicator

PMF - Performance Management Framework

PSLF- Private Sector Landlords Forum PVP- Potentially Violent Persons.

RPLG- Registered Providers Liaison Group (private housing provision).

R&PP- Regeneration and Public Protection (Directorate)

RR- Risk Register

RSL- Registered Social Landlord(s)

SDP- Service Delivery Plan

WIP- Warwickshire Investment Partnership.
WRAP- Waste & Resources Action Programme

WWP- Warwickshire Waste Partnership

Appendix 2: Reasons Why Certain 'Gross Red' Risks are 'Net Red'.

R29:

An extensive programme of consultation on the Preferred Options for the Borough Plan took place last year. The success of the consultation is reflected in the scale of the response received both in terms of the volume and depth of feedback. The responses received from residents and other interested parties have had to be processed and properly investigated and considered, as those who made the effort to submit a response would expect. This takes time. Further evidence is also being collected to inform the next stage of the Plan. It is for these reasons that there has not yet been a further round of public consultation. This will happen in due course later this year and will be followed by an examination in public at the beginning of next year. A revised timetable has been published on the website. Additional officer resources will not assist as existing officer time taken to recruit, induct and supervise the new resource would add further delay in the short term within which the draft Plan is being prepared.

R27:

Because of the following:

- (a) Demand heavily outweighs housing resources;
- (b) The current economic climate;
- (c) Other areas in the region are more attractive to developers / builders.

R34:

Following recent cases where this risk materialised, additional measures have been put in place and others are in the pipeline to reduce the risk. However, since this has actually happened the likelihood has to remain "very high" until a sufficient period has elapsed to prove the effectiveness of the new measures.

Appendix 3: Risk Score Descriptors (Likelihood).

- 6: Very High occurrence is most likely or has already happened and will do so again if control measures are not introduced
- 5: **High** occurrence is anticipated within the next 12 months
- 4: **Significant** occurrence is probable in the next 3 years
- 3: **Low** limited likelihood of occurrence in the next 3 years
- 2: **Very Low** not likely to occur in the next 3 years
- 1: Almost Impossible only anticipated in the most exceptional circumstances

Appendix 4: Risk Score Descriptors (Impact).

	Level of Impact	Service Delivery	Financial	Reputation
4	Major	 A service delivery failure causes significant hardship to vulnerable people (impact: over 7 days) or failure to meet a nationally-mandated deadline. Loss of major stakeholder/partner. Adverse outcome of a serious regulatory enquiry. 	 Financial loss over £400,000. Serious risk of legal challenge with substantial implications Multiple loss of life 	 Sustained adverse TV/radio coverage. Borough wide loss of public confidence Major damage to local environment.
3	Serious	 A service delivery failure causes significant hardship to vulnerable people (3-7 day impact). Formal regulatory investigation. Loss of a key partner or other partners. 	 Financial loss between £200K and £400K. High risk of successful legal challenge with serious implications Extensive or multiple injuries &/or a fatality 	 Significant adverse coverage in national press or equivalent low national TV coverage. Serious damage to local environment.
2	Moderate	 A service delivery failure causes significant hardship to vulnerable people (1-2 day impact). Loss of a significant non-key partner. Legal concerns raised. Suspension of employees has moderate effect on service provision. 	 Financial loss between £50K and £200K Informal regulatory enquiry Moderate injuries to an individual 	 Significant adverse coverage in local press or regional TV. Large number of customer complaints. Moderate damage to local environment.
1	Low	 Minor disruption to internal services only. Minor legal implications. Disciplinary action not significantly affecting service provision. 	 Financial loss up to £50K One or more minor injuries to an individual. 	 Minor adverse media coverage. Minor environmental damage. Minor increase in number of customer complaints.



SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME SUGGESTION FORM

When suggesting a Work Programme item, consideration needs to be given to the following questions:

- Why is the issue being suggested for review?
- · What difference could be made by looking at this item?
- Will the review contribute to the Council's corporate priorities? (Links to Corporate Aims/Objectives, Nuneaton & Bedworth Borough Council aims, objectives, service plans, forward plan, CPA Improvement Plan, strategies, LSP, Community Plan, Local Development Framework etc.

Suggested Work Programme Item	
Using the Councils unwanted wood and f poverty in the borough.	felled trees to relieve fuel
What category of scrutiny does this fall w	vithin Please tick
Policy Review & Development	Yes
Contributes to continuous improvement	Yes
Public concern	No
Holding the executive to account	
To consider the feasibility of using felled in the borough. Whilst also taking into co	
smokeless zones and other relevant information of the serious control of the serious contro	
performance against national or local PI's	
performance against national or local PI's Defra – information on fuels and wood bu AQMA – air quality Smokeless Zones legislation and require Glendale Contract	s/ BVR / media interest etc
Defra – information on fuels and wood bu AQMA – air quality Smokeless Zones legislation and require	s/ BVR / media interest etc
Defra – information on fuels and wood bu AQMA – air quality Smokeless Zones legislation and require Glendale Contract	s/ BVR / media interest etc urning stoves/fires, ments
Defra – information on fuels and wood but AQMA – air quality Smokeless Zones legislation and require Glendale Contract Desired outcome of the review Provide free or reduced price fuel to those	s/ BVR / media interest etc urning stoves/fires, ments