NUNEATON AND BEDWORTH BOROUGH COUNCIL

COUNCIL

17th September 2025

A Council meeting of the Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council was held on Wednesday, 17th September 2025.

Present

The Mayor (Councillor B. Saru)
The Deputy Mayor (Councillor T. Sheppard)

Councillors, J. Bonner, J. Clarke, J. Collett, T. Cooper, S. Croft, L. Cvetkovic, M. Etienne, J. Gutteridge, W. Hancox, J. Hartshorn, S. Hey, P. Hickling, B. Hughes, T. Jenkins, A. Khangura, N. King, M. Kondakor, S. Markham, W. Markham, B. Pandher, C. Phillips, K. Price, R. Roze, J. Sheppard, C. Smith, T. Venson, M. Walsh, C. Watkins, K. Wilson and M. Wright.

Apologies were received for Councillors E. Amaechi, A. Bull, D. Brown, and R. Smith

CL25 Minutes

RESOLVED that the minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting held on 2nd July 2025 were confirmed and signed by the Mayor with the following amendment

Minute no CL20 v) be amended to show that Councillor T. Sheppard voted to ABSTAIN.

CL26 **Declarations of Interests**

RESOLVED that the Declarations of Interests for this meeting are as set out in the schedule which can be viewed on the website.

CL27 Announcements

The Mayor welcomed Tracy Tiff the new Elections and Democratic Services Manager to the meeting.

Councillor Rob Roze announced that after reflecting on his politics he has joined the Liberal Democrat party.

CL28 **Public Participation**

Question 1 – Nicola Fentiman asked the following question to the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Enforcement:

On 12th August 2024, Hawkesbury Village Community Association reported five clear breaches of Section 106 obligations. Despite seven months having passed and repeated correspondence, the council has provided no meaningful updates, no enforcement action, and no outcomes. Why has NBBC failed to act on these breaches, and when will firm enforcement action finally be taken?

In the question I addressed a moment ago I have set out the history with this matter in terms of action that has happened since December 2024. I have also set out that whilst there have been meetings and correspondence, it isn't always possible to share the details of those publicly.

Councillor T. Venson, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Enforcement, advised that as Question 3 is connected to Question 1, he would answer them both under Question 3.

Question 2 – Keith Kondakor asked the following question to the Portfolio Holder for Communities and Public Services

I have asked to be one of the five county council representatives Warwickshire Police and Crime Panel, but this has been rejected as Warwickshire County council wanted a representative from a division in Warwick, even though there should also be a vacancy for a county councillor Nuneaton and Bedworth area.

At the moment we have a panel made up of 11 members with one vacancy. The panel comprises of an independent chair and independent deputy chair both of which seem to be residents of Warwick District plus one district councillor from Warwick; one district and one county councillor from Stratford district; one borough and one county councillor from North Warwickshire borough; one borough and two county councillors from Rugby borough but only yourself from Nuneaton and Bedworth.

I attended the last meeting of the panel in July and heard the Police & Crime Commissioner (PCC) talked about the funding he is supplying for things like wardens in Anti-Social Behaviour hot-spots in the south of the county. He said that Nuneaton and Bedworth did to apply for such funding at the time.

Will the cabinet member explain what happened when bids for PCC funding were due, what she is doing to get our share of funding for the borough and what she will do to get equal representation for the borough on the Police and Crime Panel?

Councillor K. Price, Portfolio Holder for Communities and Public Services responded as follows:

With regards to the Hot Spot funding linked to Operation Resolve that is mentioned we had a very quick turnaround to submit a bid. We explored options but unlike other areas who have community wardens in place we would not have been able meet the requirements of the Home Office funding. This was the reason we could not progress the bid and at that time the bid had not got its new security team in place either that we potentially may have been able to secure funding for.

We are seeking clarification with regards representation from PCC's office to understand their rational for how the panel is currently setup.

Keith Kondakor asked the following supplementary question:

Are you attending the Police and Crime Panel next week? If so can you yell that we need funding.

Councillor K. Price responded as follows:

I won't be yelling as not my behaviour in public but will write to the Police and Crime Commissioner Officer, I don't know of any other funding opportunities currently available to bid for.

Question 3 – Ragini Vaghela asked the following question to the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Enforcement;

Given that Hawkesbury Village Community Association has submitted a formal letter (5th December 2024) regarding the Section 106 community building, allotments and orchard at Sephton Drive, and has not received an acknowledgement or reply despite requesting a follow-up meeting, when will NBBC provide a formal response and a clear timetable for progressing towards a mutually acceptable solution in line with the Section 106 deed?

Councillor T. Venson, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Enforcement responded as follows;

I can confirm that the Planning Team and the Legal Team are working hard to progress this matter, and the Locum Planning Solicitor who started with NBBC in June joined the regular Community Centres Group meeting on Friday 12 September where a clear brief for progressing this matter was set, and they are

due to report with their advice on how to progress this matter by the end of September. Once that is received the Council will have a clear understanding of next steps and a timescale for achieving those.

Acknowledgement of 5 December letter and subsequent contact:

The Council received the letter of 5 December from a Trustee of the Hawkesbury Village Community Association. An acknowledgement was sent by the Planning Manager, Claire Hill on 9 December to confirm receipt and also to confirm that the matter was under active investigation.

Since that time, the Council's now retired legal officer also had a lengthy conversation with the Trustee of the Hawkesbury Village Community Association (the notes of which are on the file) on 25 March, and there has been correspondence between the Planning Manager and the Trustee periodically since.

In order to progress matters, various things have happened over the last year, including on 17 March 2025 a meeting between the landowners, the legal team at NBBC, and the planning and enforcement teams. There has been various exchanges of correspondence between the Council and the Landowners, throughout April, May and June.

It is necessary to point out at this stage that there have been real difficulties in terms of resource in the two main teams progressing this;

- 1. In terms of the enforcement team, which should be made up of two officers, one left mid January 2025, which left a single officer covering all matters in the Borough. The one remaining officer left in April 2025. An enforcement contractor then started on a medium term appointment but left after 7 weeks. Our current contractor has been in post since June and they are the sole enforcement officer, covering many complex cases for the whole Borough. Whilst the current Locum officer has made significant headway with the caseload, the lack of resource in the enforcement team hindered progress in the early part of this investigation. With the progress set out above in respect of this matter, this matter now sits with the legal team and the Planning Manager and not the enforcement team in any event but it is important to note why progress was slower than hoped in the early part of this year.
- 2. In terms of the legal team, the officer covering this matter from December to March retired at the end of March 2025. The contractor who was appointed was only available for 20 hours a week and they have been trying to essentially fit a full time role into 20 hours, and covering all legal Planning matters in the Borough. In July a further legal locum was secured and they

are doing 10 hours a week for NBBC. This is helping to bring down the backlog of work. This locum is instructed to advise on the Hawkesbury matter and as I have already said they will be advising by the end of this month.

In addition it should also be noted that it is a very complicated picture in terms of land ownership; the Council are dealing with various owners, Living Space, Vistry, Tilia Homes, Platform Housing and Terra Strategic.

Whilst it might not always appear externally that progress is being made, and it is acknowledged that over the Summer, due to resourcing issues in the legal team things have slowed down, things have been progressing in the background, but it isn't always possible to share the detail of that publicly.

Work will be ongoing now there is sufficient resource in the legal team, and as I have already explained we will have a clearer idea of timescales by the end of this month.

NBBC has acted on the breaches, and has an active investigation undergoing, and continues to take legal advice, and as I set out a few moments ago, by the end of September we will have received formal legal advice and will have a much better idea of timescales for moving forwards.

CL29 **Questions by Members**

Question 1 – Councillor Rob Roze asked the following question to the Portfolio Holder for Business and Regeneration:

As all members may know by now this year is the 21st anniversary of Shopmobility Nuneaton, a voluntary service that has supported disabled and mobility-impaired people to access our town centre. The charity now faces closure within months, after its grant was withdrawn and with ongoing pressure from rent, rates and BID contributions.

Can the Cabinet Member set out what this Council's intentions are in relation to Shopmobility, and whether any financial or in-kind support will be provided to ensure the service can continue?

Councillor N. King, Portfolio Holder for Business and Regeneration, responded as follows:

In February 2023 under the previous administration, as per section 5.8 of the 'General Fund Revenue Budget 2023/24' report: A review of third sector grant payments has been conducted. It was proposed to remove 50% of grant funding to two external bodies and with consultation pending approval at Council'

In line with the Cabinet report as highlighted above it is recommended that grant funding to Shop Mobility be ceased from 1st September 2023, this represents 50% of the funding paid to Shop Mobility for the financial year 2023/24

The grounds for the decision to withdraw funding:

An impact assessment returned by Shop Mobility in July 2023 highlight the following:

- Pressure on General Fund 2023/24 necessitating saving on external funding provision
- Provision of no formal LSA outlining requirement for continued funding
- Lack of accurate and timely data provision from Shop Mobility to allow for assessment of service provision and value for money.
- Falling user number, scouter hire down -60% on 2018
- Over reliance on NBBC as sole funder, little evidence of any activity to raise funds from other external bodies or fund-raising activities.
- Lack of review of service provision or inclusion of additional activities to ensure value for money.

While we recognise and commend the service provided over the years by Shop Mobility budgetary restraints can no longer justify the continued funding by the Authority

Councillor R. Roze asked the following supplementary question:

I recently went to visit them in their shop, they pay their rent to NBBC on time but the conditions in the property are dreadful, no light in the toilet and no water. Could the Portfolio Holder please help the charity with those issues.

Councillor N. King gave no response.

Question 2 – Councillor Michele Kondakor asked the following question to the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Enforcement:

I am very concerned about the lack of progress with providing footpath links between the new developments. At the St James' Gate development, by Barratts, off the Weddington Road, there was supposed to have been a connection to the bridleway going from Grove Fields to Top Farm. This link has

never been provided and is currently though a hedge and ditch. Likewise, the link from Callender Farm to Bellway (Royal Park) phase 3 is blocked by a hedge and ditch. There will be other examples. Can the cabinet member push for these important links to be provided? Currently, despite being raised by Borough and County Councillors, no progress has been made.

Councillor T. Venson, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Enforcement, responded as follows:

This application was approved in 2016. It is located off Weddington and the applicant was Barratt Homes. The approved site layout shows provision for a pedestrian link in the south-east corner of the site. The issue regarding the lack of the pedestrian link was raised by Councillor Kondakor in March 2025. This issue was passed to the Planning Enforcement contractor in post at that time. However, at that time they were the only Planning Enforcement Officer in post and covering the whole borough. They resigned from the position after approximately 7 weeks. The department recruited another contractor who started with the Council at the beginning of June 2025. Again, they are the sole Planning Enforcement Officer and dealing with a number of complex complaints. This has led to a delay in dealing with some complaints.

The Planning Enforcement Officer originally visited the site on 20th August 2025 to visually inspect and clarify the planning status of the works to create the connection to the bridleway between Grove Fields and Top Farm, but was unable to proceed with the investigation due to as explained above, other urgent high priority investigations requiring attention. However, he revisited today, 17 September 2025, and found that the connection has still not been constructed, or at least works commencing for its construction. Consequently, it is now the intention to contact the developer and proceed with seeking clarification over their intentions. It is intended for a Land Registry search to be undertaken and thereafter a Planning Contravention Notice (PCN) to be compiled that will be the preliminary steps of an expediency report and potential justification for formal action to be taken in the form of a Breach of Condition Notice (BCN) should the need arise. Once the PCN has been issued, then the Councillor will be updated with the deadline for the requisite response.

Question 3 – Councillor Mike Wright asked the following question to the Portfolio Holder for Communities and Public Services:

Could the responsible cabinet member clarify what actions are being taken to deter and reduce the unacceptable levels of fly-tipping taking place within the borough?

Please provide an update on the figures for last year, including numbers of reported incidents, fly-tipping cameras in place and prosecutions.

Could the details of successful prosecutions be publicised more widely, as a deterrent?

Councillor K. Price, Portfolio Holder for Communities and Public Services responded as follows:

Between April 2024 and March 2025 there were 2174 fly tip reports made to NBBC. In April, May and June of this year, this is the only data we can extract at the moment, we had recorded 272 fly tipping cases over those three months.

Since April 57 FPN's have been issued in relation to fly tipping investigations.

We currently have 2 fly tipping incidents with court dates set, and another prosecution case being prepared for the same. Following recent conversations with staff in legal services we are expecting to be able to take more cases through prosecution routes than may previously have been done so which is positive.

All successful prosecutions would be followed up with press releases to try and promote the work done and outcomes of those cases.

With regards cameras we have recently had cameras deployed at a fly tip hot spot along Bedworth Lane, along with some target hardening work to make dumping difficult in places there the issues previously seen have dropped off. Those cameras are now in the process of being moved to another location with fly tipping issues to try and catch the perpetrator in the act and we will then take action accordingly. I won't for reasons of the case mention where that is though. WW meeting raised about Judkins appointment system and still exists but this puts people off and they are going to do a consultation exercise to see if they want to keep the appointment system. I have had contact with residents who have confirmed they are happy to keep the system.

Question 4 - Councillor Lubs Cvetkovic asked the following question to the Portfolio Holder for Resources and Central Services:

I have recently had cause for concern regarding how Members enquiries are being dealt with. Last week I received a reply from Officers regarding an Issue I reported in April. The issue was regarding a burst water main in Bulkington that I also phoned through. I received a message that they have only just seen this message.

I have also messaged asking for a bin to be installed in a local Jitty which is well used and suffering from litter. Though I did receive a speedy reply saying it would be investigated, the enquiry was closed down within moments of this response being sent to me, with no further reply.

I have also placed calls requesting to speak to Officers. I have either been told no one from the team is in the office and that if I leave a message I will be called back. I am rarely if ever called back and am worried by the disconnect between Officers and Councillors ability to communicate directly.

I am concerned that the culture at NBBC of issues being reported, closedown, issues not being dealt with and receiving anonymous replies and entire teams being unavailable to speak with Councillors when they phone up to ask questions or seek clarification regarding casework that is being undertaken.

I am sure that this is happening to other members, and I would therefore like to ask what steps and measures are being taken to improve /change the system?

Councillor S. Hey, Portfolio Holder for Resources and Central Services, responded as follows:

Thank-you Mr. Mayor and Councillor Cvetkovic

I'd like to split my answer into two - one on the Members' Enquiry Form system and two one the question of responses from officers.

When I first became a Councillor and used the MEF system for the first time I was delighted that, within a few hours of inputting my first form it was marked as complete. I rushed out to look at the results and was utterly deflated to find that nothing had been done.

IT falls within my portfolio so I started making enquiries about how it worked and discovered that "completed" meant it had been passed on to the relevant department by Customer Services - not actually completed.

I also discovered that it was difficult to review cases, see which cases needed chasing up and to comment on progress. It seemed astonishing to me that we, as elected Members, did not have the power to decide what was completed or not.

Bearing in mind that this was a system inherited for your previous administration I asked why it was so user-unfriendly and, frankly, not fit for purpose.

So I pushed for changes to be made - and pushed and pushed and pushed!

Not just because of this it became apparent that there was a wider problem of under resourcing and slower than desired progress in the development of modern IT systems within the Council which are vital to improving our efficiency in helping our residents and businesses. As a result, and as Cllr. Wilson is aware, we are implementing changes in the management structure and funding of IT going forwards.

If you check back in your emails you'll see that, on 10th June this year, Petar Balac from IT wrote to all Councillors to introduce an updated MEF system with some significant improvements, most crucially cases can only be closed by Members.

The email also included a video guide on the changes so please do look it up if you haven't had chance to do so yet.

Coming onto officer responsiveness. I won't comment on the individual cases in this meeting but I am well aware that there is pressure in some areas with staffing shortages which is being addressed. I don't think that there is a general culture of not responding to elected members (quite the opposite) but, what I do when I don't get a response is to use the MEF system to chase one up or, on occasion, elevate the issue to a complaint.

By doing this through the MEF system we have a proper audit trail which can be used by management to identify trends, spot where the pressure point are and, if necessary, investigate why answers aren't being given in a timely manner. If you don't use the MEF system to its full extent the ability to do all that is lost.

In relation to the specific issues you mention please email me with the MEF reference numbers and I'll get them investigated.

Councillor L. Cvektovic moved that the above question and response be referred to the relevant Overview and Scrutiny Panel for further consideration.

Councillor K. Wilson seconded the motion.

A vote was taken.

It was **RESOLVED** that the question asked by Councillor L. Cvetkovic and the answer provided by Councillor S. Hey be referred to the relevant Overview and Scrutiny Panel for further consideration.

Question 5 – Councillor Martin Walsh asked the following question to the Leader of the Council:

I was contacted by a resident in my Ward with regards to renting a Council Owned garage in a block opposite where he lives back in May of this year. He informed me that he had applied on 11/4/25 and had heard nothing. I subsequently contacted the Housing dept. and was informed they were dealing with applications from 2022 and unable to give me a timeframe but would contact the resident ASAP.

I emailed Dawn Dawson, and received a reply and follow up email from Nicola Bottrill to say there were only 5 available in the area and 22 applicants. I was then informed by Lydia McLeod to say that there were 220 garages ready to let around the Borough and that they were looking to have these let by the end of August.

My resident has still heard nothing from the Housing Dept. I believe there are probably 10 vacant garages in the area that are available to rent not 5, and at least 1 if not 2 in the block opposite the resident's house.

The renting of Council garages is far easier than the renting of housing, given that the only criteria I see is that the garage is sound, securable and empty, so why does it take so long given that the income for a garage from a non-Council tenant is £20 per week which is nearly 20% of the rent of the average Council property? Can you please also tell me how many of those 220 garages are now let, and if not all why not?

Resident has been offered the garage yesterday and if this had happened back in April the council would have had £400 in rent.

Councillor C. Watkins, Leader of the Council responded as follows:

Martin has answered his own question but he would have had a quicker response if he had put in a Member Enquiry Form. The Landlord Service has been prioritising the letting and maintenance of its 5,529 homes, and its compliance with the regulatory requirements of the Regulator of Social Housing over garage lettings.

The resident to whom you refer has been offered a garage in their preferred location and all garages in this area are now let.

Councillor M. Walsh asked the following supplementary question:

You haven't answered all of my question, how many of the 220 have been let?

Councillor C. Watkins responded as follows:

We have let over 40 garages since July, but more have become vacant, there are 218 showing as currently ready to let.

CL30 Special Urgency Decisions

None taken

CL31 Cabinet

The Leader of the Council submitted the Leaders report on behalf of Cabinet. The report highlighted matters considered at the Cabinet meeting held on 16th July 2025, and refers members to the minutes from the West Midlands Combined Authority Board (WMCAB), where items can be read in detail that may have a direct impact on NBBC.

RESOLVED that the report be noted.

CL32 Composition of Committees and Overview and Scrutiny Panels

A report of the Assistant Director – Democracy & Governance was submitted to Council to confirm the new composition following the receipt of Notice by Councillor Rob Roze dated 10th August 2025 regarding the Cessation of membership of the Labour Group and standing as an Independent.

Councillor C. Watkins, Leader of the Council, moved the recommendation for approval with the following additional recommendation:

 Delegated Authority be given to the Chief Executive Officer to make any further amendments to the composition as required upon the receipt of the formal notice by Councillor R. Roze to become a member of the Liberal Democrats.

Councillor S. Hey seconded the recommendation.

A vote was taken.

RESOLVED that

a) having regard to the provisions relating to political balance, the composition of the following Committees/Scrutiny Panels subject to political balance rules be as indicated below for the remainder of the 2025/2026 Municipal Year be noted.

Committee	Seats to Allocate	Conser- vative	Labour	Green	Independent	Total
Business, Regeneration & Planning OSP (Excl co-optees)	9	4	4	0	1	9
Health and Corporate Resources OSP (Excl co-optees)	9	4	4	1	0	9
Environment and Leisure OSP (Excl cooptees)	9	4	4	0	1	9
Housing and Communities OSP (Excl co-optees)	9	4	5	0	0	9
Audit & Standards (Excl co-optees)	11	5	5	1	0	11
Planning	11	5	5	1	0	11
Licensing	11	5	5	1	0	11
Appeals	10	4	5	1	0	10
Shareholder Committee	6	3	3	0	0	6
Officer Remuneration Panel	5	2	2	0	1	5
Borough Plan	9	4	5	0	0	9
Total	99	44	47	5	3	99

b) Delegated Authority be given to the Chief Executive Officer to make any further amendments to the composition as required upon the receipt of the formal notice by Councillor R. Roze to become a member of the Liberal Democrats.

CL33 <u>Membership of Committees and Overview and Scrutiny Panels 2025/26 -Mid Year Change</u>

A report of the Assistant Director – Democracy & Governance was submitted to Council to confirm the new membership following the receipt of Notice by Councillor Rob Roze dated 10th August 2025 regarding the Cessation of membership of the Labour Group and standing as an Independent.

Councillor C. Watkins moved the recommendation with an additional recommendation as shown below:

 Delegated Authority be given to the Chief Executive Officer to make any further amendments to the membership as required upon the receipt of the formal notice by Councillor R. Roze to become a member of the Liberal Democrats.

Councillor K. Wilson seconded the recommendations

A vote was taken.

It was **RESOLVED** that

- a) the membership of committees/scrutiny panels for 2025/26 be updated as follows below for the remainder of the 2025/26 Municipal Year; and
- b) Delegated Authority be given to the Chief Executive Officer to make any further amendments to the membership as required upon the receipt of the formal notice by Councillor R. Roze to become a member of the Liberal Democrats.

Appeals (10)

Councillors: M. Bird, C. Phillips, J. Collett, S. Croft, C. Smith, M. Etienne, B. Hancox, J. Sheppard, T Sheppard and M. Wright. Notes:

- 1. 5 members will be selected from the pool as required.
- 2. The Chair will be appointed at each particular meeting.

Audit and Standards Committee (11)

Councillor J. Bonner (Chair), Councillor D. Brown (Vice-chair)

Councillors: A. Bull, T. Cooper, L. Cvetkovic, B. Hancox, J. Hartshorn, T. Jenkins, W. Markham, C. Smith and M. Wright.

Licensing (11)

Councillor T. Sheppard (Chair), Councillor J. Gutteridge (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: M. Bird, J. Bonner, J. Clarke, S. Croft, M. Etienne, B. Hughes, T. Jenkins, N. King and M. Wright.

Planning Applications (11)

Councillor B. Hancox (Chair), Councillor L. Cvetkovic (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: E. Amaechi, S. Dhillon, P. Hickling, M. Kondakor, S. Markham, W. Markham, J. Sheppard, R. Smith and K. Wilson.

Business, Regeneration and Planning Overview and Scrutiny (9)

Councillor P. Hickling (Chair) Councillor J. Clarke (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: E. Amaechi, M. Bird, D. Brown, C. Phillips, R. Roze, B. Saru and R. Smith

Environment and Leisure Overview and Scrutiny (9)

Councillor J. Sheppard (Chair)

Councillor M. Walsh (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: J. Collett, J. Gutteridge, S. Markham, C. Phillips, R. Roze, B. Saru and C. Smith.

Health and Corporate Resources Overview and Scrutiny (9)

Councillor S. Dhillon (Chair)

Councillor J. Hartshorn (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: J. Bonner, T. Jenkins, M. Kondakor, S. Markham, W. Markham, B. Pandher and C. Smith.

Housing and Communities Overview and Scrutiny (9)

Councillor C. Phillips (Chair)

Councillor M. Etienne (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: E. Amaechi, M. Bird, T. Cooper, S. Dhillon, B. Pandher, B. Saru and C. Smith.

Borough Plan (9)

Councillor T. Venson (Chair)

Councillors: A. Bull, L. Cvetkovic, T. Jenkins, C. Smith, R. Smith, M. Walsh, C. Watkins and K Wilson.

Officer Remuneration Panel (5)

Councillor S. Hev (Chair)

Councillors: D. Brown, B. Hughes, R. Roze and K. Wilson.

Shareholder Committee (6)

Councillor J. Sheppard (Chair)

Councillors: J. Clarke, J. Gutteridge, S. Hey, N. King, and M. Walsh

CL34 Recommendations from Cabinet and Other Committees

i) Capital Outturn 2024-25

At the Cabinet meeting held on 16th July 2025, a recommendation was put forward for Council approval on the above item.

Councillor S. Hey, Portfolio Holder for Resources and Central Services, moved the recommendation for approval.

Councillor C. Watkins seconded the recommendation.

A vote was taken.

RESOLVED that the updated capital budget for 2025/26 as detailed in Appendix 2 of the report be approved

ii) Treasury Management Update 2025 - 2026

At the Audit and Standards Committee meeting held on 9th September 2025, a recommendation was put forward for Council approval on the above item.

Councillor J. Bonner, Chair of Audit and Standards Committee, moved the recommendation for approval

Councillor S. Hey seconded the recommendation

A vote was taken.

RESOLVED that the Treasury Management Report for 2025/26 – Quarter 1 be noted.

iii) Capital Monitoring Quarter 1

At the Cabinet meeting held on 10th September 2025, a recommendation was put forward for Council approval on the above item.

Councillor S. Hey, Portfolio Holder for Resources and Central Services, moved the recommendation for approval

Councillor C. Watkins seconded the recommendation

A vote was taken.

RESOLVED that the updates to the 2025/26 Capital Budget as detailed in point 5 of the report be approved.

Mayor				