RECORD OF EXERCISE OF DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY OFFICER PURSUANT TO REGULATION 13 OF THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES (EXECUTIVE ARRANGEMENTS) (MEETINGS AND ACCESS TO INFORMATION) (ENGLAND) REGULATIONS 2012 & THE OPENNESS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT BODIES REGULATIONS 2014

SUBJECT OF DECISION

Certificate of proposed lawful development and incidental use for the alteration of the existing garage to form an annexe for additional bedrooms, bathroom and living area for a family member as an incidental use for the enjoyment of the main dwellinghouse for the purposes of Class C3 of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended). ("the proposed development"). Planning application reference: 040875

DECISION REFERENCE	SOURCE OF AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE (i.e. Committee/
DO/38/2025 (MW)	Constitution/Minute No. etc.
	Part 3E.3 a)
DATE OF DECISION	DECISION MAKER (Name and Job Title)
10 September 2025	M. Wallball
	Matt Wallbank <u>Assistant Director – Democracy & Governance</u>

X443-354 Page 1

RECORD OF THE DECISION

a) The issue

Under section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, an applicant can ascertain whether any development carried out in, on, over or under land is lawful. This can be done by applying for a certificate of lawfulness.

The Proposed Development falls within Schedule 2, Part 1, Class E of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) subject to conditions set out within the Order as the maintenance, improvement or other alteration of such a building or enclosure".

The use of the outbuilding for incidental use as described in the application is not development pursuant to S55 (2) (d) of the Town and Country Planning Act (as amended).

Planning application reference: 040875

b) The Decision

The Certificate be issued for the proposed development and incidental use for the enjoyment of the dwellinghouse.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The assessment is a matter of law, fact and degree. The applicants have submitted proposals that satisfy the requirements of the 2015 Order

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED IN MAKING THE DECISION

Reject the application. This was not an option in view of the submitted proposals.

WARD RELEVANCE

Heath

FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

None

CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN WITH MEMBERS/OFFICERS

Consultation has been undertaken with the Legal Services Team and the Planning Services Team.

ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY ANY MEMBER CONSULTED

None

X443-354 Page 2

IN RESPECT OF ANY DECLARED CONFLICT BY A CABINET MEMBER, ANY
<u>DISPENSATION GIVEN BY THE HEAD OF PAID SERVICE</u> (Note if the decision is a non-executive decision, no dispensation can be given).
Not applicable
EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS
None
HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS
None
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
None
HEALTH EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS
None
SECTION 17 CRIME & DISORDER IMPLICATIONS
None
RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS
None
SME (SMALL/MEDIUM ENTERPRISES) & LOCAL ECONOMY IMPLICATIONS
None
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS
None
LEGAL IMPLICATIONS
This decision complies with Section 192 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990. ANY OTHER COMMENTS
None

PLEASE RETURN TO THE MONITORING OFFICER AS SOON AS A DECISION IS MADE OR AS REASONABLY PRACTICABLE THEREAFTER

X443-354 Page 3